|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **4 3 2 0 - 1** | | | |
| **Delivery**      x 5 =       **Comments:** | | | |
| * Presentation is well rehearsed with smooth delivery. * Team members have clear roles in the presentation and all are “experts” on the entire subject. * Delivery is supported by effective visual media, including slides with pictures. | * Presentation is fairly well rehearsed with good delivery. * Team members have clear roles in the presentation and all are “experts” in their assigned area of the topic. * Delivery is supported by slides with pictures. | * Presentation is not well rehearsed and is disjointed. * Team members are unclear about their roles in the presentation and only appear to know the content they were responsible for. * Some of presentation is supported by slides with pictures. | * It is obvious that the presentation has not been rehearsed. * Team members are unclear about their roles in the presentation and do not know the content. * All of the presentation is delivered by reading the slides, rather than using the slides as “notes.” |
|  | | | |
|  |  |  |  |
| **Layout/Design**      x 2 =       **Comments:** | | | |
| * Design of the presentation is creative, clean, and attractive, supporting the overall purpose/message of the presentation. * Pictures reinforce the key points of the presentation. | * Design of the presentation is attractive, basically supporting the overall purpose/message of the presentation. * Pictures do not conflict with the key points of the presentation. | * Design of the presentation is somewhat distracting, and is confusing as to how it supports the overall purpose/message of the presentation. * Pictures sometimes conflict or distract from the key points of the presentation. | * Design of the presentation is distracting and difficult to view, and does not support the overall purpose/message of the presentation. * Pictures have nothing to do with the content of the presentation. |
| **Individual Contribution**      x 5 =       **Comments:** | | | |
| * Evidence of teamwork is obvious and your contributions greatly enhance the project. * You are an expert in the subject matter. * Your theory is sound and explained well. | * Evidence of teamwork exists and your contributions enhance the project. * You are an expert in your subject matter, but you could be more informed on your partner’s content. * Your theory is sound, but the reasoning could have been better explained. | * Evidence of teamwork is spotty and it is unclear how your contributions enhance the project. * You do not know your subject matter well and have limited knowledge of your partner’s content. * Your theory is not reasonable or you did give good reasoning for your theory. | * There is no evidence of teamwork and you do not provide any meaningful contribution to the project. * You do not know your subject matter and have no knowledge of your partner’s content. * You do not have a theory or your theory is not based in any way in fact. |